arXiv:1603.09734v1 [math.AG] 26 Mar 2016

Double integrals on a weighted projective plane and the Hilbert modular functions for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$

Atsuhira Nagano

April 1, 2016

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to give an explicit extension of the classical elliptic integrals to the Hilbert modular case for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. We study a family of Kummer surfaces corresponding to the Humbert surface of invariant 5 with two complex parameters. Our Kummer surface is given by a double covering of the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1:1:2)$ branched along a parabola and a quintic curve. The period mapping for our family is given by double integrals of an algebraic function on chambers coming from an arrangement of a parabola and a quintic curve in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Introduction

The aim of this paper is to give a canonical extension of the classical elliptic integrals to the Hilbert modular case for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.

The arrangement of 4 points on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ is deeply related to the elliptic modular functions for the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(2)$. The double covering of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ branched at 4 points gives an elliptic curve. The coordinate of the configuration space of 4 branch points on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ gives a modular function for $\Gamma(2)$ via the period mapping of the family of the corresponding elliptic curves.

One of the most successful extensions of the above classical story to several variables is given by K. Matsumoto, T. Sasaki and M. Yoshida [8]. They showed an interesting relation between the arrangement of 6 lines on the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ and the modular functions on a 4 dimensional bounded symmetric space of type I via the period mapping of the family of $K3$ surfaces coming from the arrangement of 6 lines.

We shall give another natural extension of the classical elliptic integrals to a case of several variables. The Hilbert modular functions for real quadratic fields are very popular among modular functions of several variables. However, to the best of the author's knowledge, to obtain simple and geometric extensions of the classical elliptic integrals to the Hilbert modular cases is a highly non-trivial problem. Although the Hilbert modular functions with level 2 structure can be obtained from the moduli of hyperelliptic curves of genus 2, they are characterized by a complicated modular equations (see Remark 1.7).

In this paper, we focus on the Hilbert modular functions for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. Since the real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ gives the smallest discriminant, several researchers (for example, K. B. Gundlach [2], F. Hirzebruch [4], R. Müller [10]) studied this case in detail. We shall give a simple and geometric interpretation of the Hilbert modular functions in this case. We consider the double integrals of the algebraic function F in (2.2) of 2 variables on chambers surrounded by the parabola P in (1.2) and the quintic curve Q in (1.3) with the $(2,5)$-cusp. These double integrals are equal to the period integrals of the Kummer surface $K(X,Y)$ in (1.1). The equation (1.1) gives a double covering of the weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}(1:1:2)$

Keywords: Elliptic integrals ; Hilbert modular functions ; Kummer surfaces
Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 11F46, 14J28
Running head: Double integrals and Hilbert modular functions

branched along P and Q and the complex parameters (X, Y) determine the arrangement of the branch loci. The parameters (X, Y) are regarded as a pair of the Hilbert modular functions for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ via the explicit double integrals (see Remark 1.9 and Theorem 2.1). Our results are coherent with the story of the classical elliptic integrals (see Table 1). The results in this paper are used in the paper [12].

	Classical Story	Result of This Paper
Base Space	$\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathbb{P}(1:1:2)$
Branch Loci	4 points	P and Q
Variety	Elliptic curve	Kummer surface $K(X, Y)$
Arrangement	Elliptic modular function for $\Gamma(2)$	Hilbert modular functions for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$

Table 1: The classical elliptic integrals and the result of this paper.

The author conjectures that we can similarly obtain simple and geometric interpretations of other Hilbert modular functions also, using suitable weighted projective planes. Our results might give a first step of such an approach to Hilbert modular functions.

1 The Kummer surface $K(X, Y)$ and the Hilbert modular functions for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$

We consider the period mapping for the family $\mathcal{K} = \{K(X, Y)\}$ of surfaces where

$$K(X, Y) : v^2 = (u^2 - 2y^5)(u - (5y^2 - 10Xy + Y)) \tag{1.1}$$

for $(X, Y) \neq (0, 0)$. The equation (1.1) gives a double covering of the (y, u)-space branched along the parabola

$$u = 5y^2 - 10Xy + Y \tag{1.2}$$

and the quintic curve

$$u^2 = 2y^5 \tag{1.3}$$

with the $(2, 5)$-cusp $(y, u) = (0, 0)$. The parameters (X, Y) define the arrangement of the divisors P and Q. In this section, we see the properties of the family $\mathcal{K}$.

1.1 The Hilbert modular functions for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and the $K3$ surface $S(X, Y)$

In this subsection, we survey the results of [11].

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers in the real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. Set $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$. The Hilbert modular group $PSL(2, \mathcal{O})$ acts on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} : (z_1, z_2) \mapsto \Big(\frac{\alpha z_1 + \beta}{\gamma z_1 + \delta}, \frac{\alpha' z_2 + \beta'}{\gamma' z_2 + \delta'} \Big),$$

for $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathcal{O})$, where $'$ means the conjugate in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. We consider the involution $\tau : (z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_2, z_1)$ also.

Definition 1.1. *If a holomorphic function g on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ satisfies the transformation law*

$$g\Big(\frac{\alpha z_1 + \beta}{\gamma z_1 + \delta}, \frac{\alpha' z_2 + \beta'}{\gamma' z_2 + \delta'} \Big) = (\gamma z_1 + \delta)^k (\gamma' z_2 + \delta')^k g(z_1, z_2)$$

for any $\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$*, we call* g *a Hilbert modular form of weight* k *for* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$*. If* $g(z_2,z_1) = g(z_1,z_2)$*,* g *is called a symmetric modular form.*

If a meromorphic function f *on* $\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H}$ *satisfies*

$$f\Big(\frac{\alpha z_1+\beta}{\gamma z_1+\delta}, \frac{\alpha' z_2+\beta'}{\gamma' z_2+\delta'}\Big) = f(z_1,z_2)$$

for any $\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$*, we call* f *a Hilbert modular function for* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$*.*

Remark 1.1. *Hirzebruch [4] showed that the symmetric Hilbert modular surface* $\overline{(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle}$ *is isomorphic to the weighted projective plane* $\mathbb{P}(1:3:5) = \{(\mathfrak{A}:\mathfrak{B}:\mathfrak{C})\}$*. The point* $(\mathfrak{A}:\mathfrak{B}:\mathfrak{C}) = (1:0:0)$ *gives the cusp* $(\sqrt{-1}\infty, \sqrt{-1}\infty)$ *of the modular surface. Letting*

$$X = \frac{\mathfrak{B}}{\mathfrak{A}^3}, \qquad Y = \frac{\mathfrak{C}}{\mathfrak{A}^5}, \tag{1.4}$$

the pair (X,Y) *defines a system of affine coordinates of* $\{\mathfrak{A}\neq 0\}$ *of* $\mathbb{P}(1:3:5)$*.*

Remark 1.2. *Müller [10] gave the Hilbert modular forms* g_2 *(*s_6, s_{10}, s_{15}*, resp.) of weight* 2 *(*$6, 10, 15$*, resp.). They generate the ring of Hilbert modular forms for* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$*.*

A $K3$ surface X is a simply connected compact complex surface with $K_X = 0$. The homology group $H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ has the unimodular lattice structure. Let $\mathrm{NS}(X)$, the Néron-Severi lattice of X, denote the sublattice in $H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ generated by the divisors on X. The orthogonal complement $\mathrm{Tr}(X)$ of $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ in $H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is called the transcendental lattice of X.

We set the family $\mathcal{F} = \{S(\mathfrak{A}:\mathfrak{B}:\mathfrak{C}) | (\mathfrak{A}:\mathfrak{B}:\mathfrak{C}) \in \mathbb{P}(1:3:5) - \{(1:0:0)\}\}$ of $K3$ surfaces with an elliptic fibration given by the affine equation

$$S(\mathfrak{A}:\mathfrak{B}:\mathfrak{C}) : z_0^2 = x_0^3 - 4y_0^2(4y_0 - 5\mathfrak{A})x_0^2 + 20\mathfrak{B}y_0^3x_0 + \mathfrak{C}y_0^4. \tag{1.5}$$

For a generic point $(\mathfrak{A}:\mathfrak{B}:\mathfrak{C}) \in \mathbb{P}(1:3:5)$, the intersection matrix of the Néron-Severi lattice $\mathrm{NS}(S(\mathfrak{A}:\mathfrak{B}:\mathfrak{C}))$ is given by $E_8(-1)\oplus E_8(-1)\oplus \begin{pmatrix}2 & 1\\ 1 & -2\end{pmatrix}$ (see [11]). Set $\mathcal{D} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C}) | \xi A^t\xi = 0, \xi A^t\overline{\xi} > 0\}$, where $A = U\oplus \begin{pmatrix}2 & 1\\ 1 & -2\end{pmatrix}$ gives the transcendental lattice of $S(\mathfrak{A}:\mathfrak{B}:\mathfrak{C})$. Here, U is the parabolic lattice of rank 2. Note that $\mathcal{D}$ is composed of 2 connected components $\mathcal{D}_+$ and $\mathcal{D}_-$. We let $(1:1:-\sqrt{-1}:0) \in \mathcal{D}_+$. In [11], we had the multivalued period mapping $\mathbb{P}(1:3:5) - \{(1:0:0)\} \to \mathcal{D}_+$ for $\mathcal{F}$ given by

$$\Phi : (\mathfrak{A}:\mathfrak{B}:\mathfrak{C}) \mapsto \Big(\int_{\Gamma_1}\omega : \int_{\Gamma_2}\omega : \int_{\Gamma_3}\omega : \int_{\Gamma_4}\omega\Big), \tag{1.6}$$

where ω is the holomorphic 2-form up to a constant factor and $\Gamma_1, \cdots \Gamma_4$ are 2-cycles on $S(\mathfrak{A}:\mathfrak{B}:\mathfrak{C})$.

Remark 1.3. *Let* $\{\check{\Gamma}_1, \cdots, \check{\Gamma}_4\}$ *be a basis of the transcendental lattice* A*. We can take* 2*-cycles* $\Gamma_1, \cdots, \Gamma_4$ *such that they satisfy* $(\Gamma_j \cdot \check{\Gamma}_k) = \delta_{j,k}$ $(j,k = 1, \cdots 4)$*. These* 2*-cycles* $\Gamma_1, \cdots, \Gamma_4$ *give the period mapping (1.6).*

Note that we have a biholomorphic mapping $j : \mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{D}_+$. The multivalued mapping $j^{-1}\circ\Phi$ on $\{\mathfrak{A}\neq 0\}$ is given by

$$(X,Y) \mapsto (z_1,z_2) = \left(-\frac{\displaystyle\int_{\Gamma_3}\omega + \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\int_{\Gamma_4}\omega}{\displaystyle\int_{\Gamma_2}\omega}, -\frac{\displaystyle\int_{\Gamma_3}\omega + \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\int_{\Gamma_4}\omega}{\displaystyle\int_{\Gamma_2}\omega}\right). \tag{1.7}$$

Theorem 1.1. *([11]) The multivalued period mapping (1.7) gives a developing map of the Hilbert modular orbifold* $\overline{(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle}$ *with the branch divisor*

$$Y(-1728X^5+64(5X^2-Y)^2+720X^3Y-80XY^2+Y^3)=0.$$

The inverse of (1.7) gives a pair $(X(z_1,z_2),Y(z_1,z_2))$ *of symmetric Hilbert modular functions for* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.

Remark 1.4. *The icosahedral group is deeply related to the Hilbert modular functions for* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ *(see [4] or [7]). Since the divisor*

$$-1728X^5+64(5X^2-Y)^2+720X^3Y-80XY^2+Y^3=0 \tag{1.8}$$

is derived from Klein's icosahedral invariants, this relation is called Klein's icosahedral relation.

Remark 1.5. *The inverse* $(X(z_1,z_2),Y(z_1,z_2))$ *of (1.7) has an explicit expression in terms of the Müller's modular forms* g_2,s_6,s_{10} *(see [11]).*

1.2 The Kummer surface for the Humbert surface of invariant 5

In this subsection, we recall the properties of the Humbert surface of invariant 5.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_2$ be the Siegel upper half plane of degree 2. The symplectic group $Sp(4,\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathfrak{S}_2$. The quotient space $\mathfrak{S}_2/Sp(4,\mathbb{Z})$ gives the moduli space of principally polarized Abelian surfaces. Take $\Omega=\begin{pmatrix}\sigma_1 & \sigma_2\\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_3\end{pmatrix}\in\mathfrak{S}_2$. Let L_Ω be the lattice generated by the columns of the matrix (Ω,I_2). The complex torus $Z_\Omega=\mathbb{C}/L_\Omega$ of 2-dimension gives a principally polarized Abelian surface. We note that Z_Ω corresponds to the Jacobian variety of a hyperelliptic curve of genus 2.

Let T be the involution of a 2-dimensional complex torus Z induced by $(z_1,z_2)\mapsto(-z_1,-z_2)$ on the universal covering $\mathbb{C}^2$. The minimal resolution $\mathrm{Kum}(Z)=\overline{Z/\langle id,T\rangle}$ is called the Kummer surface. $\mathrm{Kum}(Z)$ is a $K3$ surface. Note that Z is an Abelian surface if and only if $\mathrm{Kum}(Z)$ is an algebraic $K3$ surface.

Remark 1.6. *Let* $\Omega\in\mathfrak{S}_2$ *and* Z_Ω *be the corresponding principally polarized Abelian surface. The Kummer surface* $\mathrm{Kum}(Z_\Omega)$ *can be given by the double covering of* $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})=\{(\zeta_0:\zeta_1:\zeta_2)\}$ *whose branch divisor is given by* 6 *lines* $\zeta_2=0,\zeta_2+2\zeta_1+\zeta_0=0,\zeta_0=0$ *and* $\zeta_2+2\lambda_j\zeta_1+\lambda_j^2\zeta_0=0$, $(j\in\{1,2,3\})$ *with three complex parameters* λ_1,λ_2 *and* λ_3. *In this paper, this Kummer surface is denoted by* $K_H(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$.

An element $\Omega=\begin{pmatrix}\sigma_1 & \sigma_2\\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_3\end{pmatrix}\in\mathfrak{S}_2$ is said to have a singular relation with invariant Δ if there exist relatively prime integers $a,b,c,d,e\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $a\sigma_1+b\sigma_2+c\sigma_3+d(\sigma_2^2-\sigma_1\sigma_3)+e=0$ and $\Delta=b^2-4ac-4de$. Set $\mathcal{N}_5=\{\Omega\in\mathfrak{S}_2|\sigma$ has a singular relation with invariant $\Delta\}$. Let p be the canonical projection $\mathfrak{S}_2\to\mathfrak{S}_2/Sp(4,\mathbb{Z})$. Then, the space $\mathcal{H}_5=p(\mathcal{N}_5)$, called the Humbert surface of invariant 5, gives the moduli space of principally polarized Abelian surfaces A such that $\mathcal{O}\subset\mathrm{End}(A)$.

Remark 1.7. *Humbert [3] showed that* Ω *has s singular relation with* $\Delta=5$ *if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}&4(\lambda_1^2\lambda_3-\lambda_2^2+\lambda_3^2(1-\lambda_1)+\lambda_2^2\lambda_3)(\lambda_1^2\lambda_2\lambda_3-\lambda_1\lambda_2^2\lambda_3)\\&=(\lambda_1^2(\lambda_2+1)\lambda_3-\lambda_2^2(\lambda_1+\lambda_3)+(1-\lambda_1)\lambda_2\lambda_3^2+\lambda_1(\lambda_2-\lambda_3))^2\end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

holds (see also [5] Theorem 2.9). This relation is called Humbert's modular equation for $\Delta=5$. *Let* $\mathcal{Q}:\mathcal{M}_{2,2}\to\mathfrak{S}_2/Sp(4,\mathbb{Z})$ *be the natural projection, where* $\mathcal{M}_{2,2}$ *is the moduli space of genus two curves with level* 2 *structure. The equation* (1.9) *defines a component of the inverse image* $\mathcal{Q}^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_5)$.

This modular equation is studied in detail by several researchers (for example, Hashimoto and Murabayashi [5]). However, since this equation (1.9) is complicated, to the best of the author's knowledge, to study the moduli properties of the family $\{K_H(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\}$ *corresponding to* $\mathcal{H}_5$ *is not easy.*

1.3 The Shioda-Inose structure

Let X be an algebraic $K3$ surface. Let ω be the unique holomorphic 2-form on X up to a constant factor. If an involution $\iota : X \to X$ satisfies $\iota^*\omega = \omega$, we call ι a symplectic involution. Set $G = \langle \iota, \mathrm{id} \rangle \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. Set $\tilde{Y} = X/G$. Letting $Y \to \tilde{Y}$ be the minimal resolution, Y is a $K3$ surface. We have the rational quotient mapping $\chi : X \dashrightarrow Y$.

Definition 1.2. *We say that a $K3$ surface X admits a Shioda-Inose structure if there exists a symplectic involution $\iota \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ with the rational quotient mapping $\chi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that Y is a Kummer surface and χ_* induces a Hodge isometry $\mathrm{Tr}(X)(2) \simeq \mathrm{Tr}(Y)$.*

Theorem 1.2. (Morrison [9]) *The $K3$ surface X admits a Shioda-Inose structure if and only if there is an embedding $E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{NS}(X)$. A symplectic involution ι exchanging the two copies of $E_8(-1)$ induces a Shioda-Inose structure.*

1.4 Kummer surface $K(X, Y)$

Due to Theorem 1.2, the $K3$ surface $S(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})$ for $(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}) \neq (1 : 0 : 0)$ admits a Shioda-Inose structure. Therefore, there exists the Kummer surface $K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})$ and a symplectic involution ι of $S(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})$ such that the corresponding rational quotient mapping $\chi : S(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}) \dashrightarrow K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})$ induces a Hodge isometry $\mathrm{Tr}(S(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}))(2) \simeq \mathrm{Tr}(K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}))$.

We shall obtain an explicit defining equation of $K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})$ by realizing the above symplectic involution ι. To find such an involution, we need a special elliptic fibration on $S(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})$ given by the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *The defining equation of $S(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})$ in (1.5) is birationally equivalent to*

$$z_1^2 = x_1(x_1^2 + (20\mathfrak{A}y_1^2 - 20\mathfrak{B}y_1 + \mathfrak{C})x_1 + 16y_1^5). \tag{1.10}$$

Proof. Perform the birational transformation

$$x_0 = \frac{x_1}{16y_1}, y_0 = -\frac{x_1}{16y_1^2}, z_0 = \frac{x_1 z_1}{256y_1^4}$$

to (1.5). □



Figure 1: The singular fibres given by (1.10).

The mapping $\pi_1 : S(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}) \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ given by $(x_1, y_1, z_1) \mapsto y_1$ defines an elliptic fibration. The fibre $\pi_1^{-1}(0)$ ($\pi_1^{-1}(\infty)$, resp.) is a singular fibre of π_1 of type I_{10} (III^*, resp.). We set $\pi_1^{-1}(0) = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_4 + a_0' + a_1' + \cdots + a_4'$ and $\pi_1^{-1}(\infty) = b_0 + b_1 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_1' + c_2' + c_3'$. Let O be the zero of the Mordell-Weil group. Let O' be the section of π_1 given by $(x_1, y_1, z_1) = (0, y_1, 0)$. Note that $2O' = O$ (see Figure 1).

We have the involution ι of $S(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})$ given by

$$(x_1, y_1, z_1) \mapsto (\frac{16y_1^5}{x_1}, y_1, \frac{-16y_1^5 z_1}{x_1^2}).$$

This is a symplectic involution. Note that ι is a van Geemen-Sarti involution for elliptic surfaces (see [1]). Let $G = \langle id, \iota \rangle$. Set

$$u_1 = x_1 + \frac{16y_1^5}{x_1}, \qquad v_1 = \frac{x_1^2 - 16y_1^5}{z_1}. \tag{1.11}$$

They are G-invariants. We can see that $(x_1, y_1, z_1) \mapsto (u_1, y_1, v_1)$ defines a 2 to 1 mapping.

Theorem 1.3. *The defining equation of the Kummer surface $K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})$ is given by*

$$v^2 = (u^2 - 2y^5)(u - (5\mathfrak{A}y^2 - 10\mathfrak{B}y + \mathfrak{C})). \tag{1.12}$$

For generic $(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}) \in \mathbb{P}(1 : 3 : 5)$, the intersection matrix of the transcendental lattice $\mathrm{Tr}(K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}))$ is given by

$$A(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By direct observations, we can check that ι interchanges 2 copies of $E_8(-1)$ in $\mathrm{NS}(S(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}))$ (see Figure 2). Therefore, due to Theorem 1.2, the involution ι gives the Shioda-Inose structure on $S(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})$.



Figure 2: $E_8(-1)$ lattices in $\mathrm{NS}(S(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}))$.

From (1.10), (1.11), and the birational transformation

$$u_1 = -u, \quad v_1 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}v}{u - (5\mathfrak{A}y^2 - 10\mathfrak{B}y + \mathfrak{C})}, \quad y_1 = \frac{y}{2},$$

we can check that the defining equation of $S((\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})/G$ is given by (1.12).

We have the intersection matrix of $\mathrm{Tr}(K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}))$ since ι gives the Shioda-Inose structure. □

We have the family $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \{K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})\}$ of Kummer surfaces. The projection $(y, u, v) \mapsto (y, u)$ defines the double covering $\mathcal{P} : K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}) \to \mathbb{P}(1 : 1 : 2) = \{(\zeta_0 : \zeta_1 : \zeta_2)\}$, where $y = \frac{\zeta_1}{\zeta_0}$ and $u = \frac{\zeta_2}{\zeta_0^2}$ on $\{\zeta_0 \neq 0\}$. Its branch divisor is given by $\tilde{P} \cup \tilde{Q}$, where

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{P} \cap \{\zeta_0 \neq 0\} = \{(y, u) | u = 5\mathfrak{A}y^2 - 10\mathfrak{B}y + \mathfrak{C}\}, \\ \tilde{Q} \cap \{\zeta_0 \neq 0\} = \{(y, u) | u^2 = 2y^5\}. \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

Remark 1.8. *The equation (1.12) gives an expression of the Kummer surface* $\mathrm{Kum}(Z_\Omega)$ *for* $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}_5$*. This is different from the expression of* $K_H(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ *in Remark 1.7. Our expression has some advantages. For example, our parameter space has a simple compactification by adding the point* $(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}) = (1 : 0 : 0)$*. This point is equal to the cusp of the Hilbert modular surface* $\overline{(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2, \mathcal{O}), \tau \rangle}$ *(see Remark 1.1).*

Let ω_K be the unique holomorphic 2-form on $K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})$ up to a constant factor. Set $\chi_*(\Gamma_j) = \Delta_j$ for $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. We have the period mapping for $\mathcal{K}$ given by

$$\Phi_K : (\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}) \mapsto \Big(\int_{\Delta_1} \omega_K : \int_{\Delta_2} \omega_K : \int_{\Delta_3} \omega_K : \int_{\Delta_4} \omega_K \Big) \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{1.14}$$

Since $\chi^*(\omega_K) = \omega$ and $\chi_*(\Gamma_j) = \Delta_j$, we have clearly the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1. *It holds that*

$$\Big(\int_{\Gamma_1} \omega : \cdots : \int_{\Gamma_4} \omega \Big) = \Big(\int_{\Delta_1} \omega_K : \cdots : \int_{\Delta_4} \omega_K \Big).$$

Remark 1.9. *According to Theorem 1.1 and the above proposition, the inverse of* $j^{-1} \circ \Phi_K$ *gives the pair* (X, Y) *of Hilbert modular functions for* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ *via the period mapping* Φ_K*.*

We have the projection $\pi : K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}) \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ given by $(u, y, v) \mapsto y$. We have the singular fibre $\pi^{-1}(0)$ ($\pi^{-1}(\infty)$, resp.) of the elliptic surface $(K((\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}), \pi, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ of type I_5 (III^*, resp.) and other five singular fibres $\pi^{-1}(s_1), \cdots, \pi^{-1}(s_5)$ of type I_2.

Proposition 1.2. *The vector space* $\mathrm{NS}(K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ *is generated by the components of singular fibres, the section* O *given by the zero of the Mordel-Weil group and a general fibre* F *of* π*.*

Proof. $\mathrm{NS}(K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is an 18-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$. Set $\pi^{-1}(y) = \bigcup_{j=0,\cdots,r(y)} \Theta_{y,j}$, where $\Theta_{y,j}$ is a connected component and $\Theta_{y,0} \cap O \neq \phi$. By calculating the intersection numbers, we can check that the 18 divisors $\Theta_{0,1}, \cdots, \Theta_{0,4}, \Theta_{s_1,1}, \cdots, \Theta_{s_5,1}, \Theta_{\infty,1}, \cdots, \Theta_{\infty,7}$, O and F generate a sublattice of $\mathrm{NS}(K(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C}))$ of rank 18. Hence the claim follows. □

Considering the relation (1.4), we have the defining equation (1.1) with (X, Y) parameters.

2 The double integrals of an algebraic function on chambers surrounded by a parabola and a quintic curve

In this section, we obtain an extension of the classical elliptic integrals. We shall study a single-valued branch $U_0 \to \mathcal{D}_+$ of the multivalued period mapping Φ_K explicitly where U_0 is an open set given by Figure 3 in $\mathbb{R}^2$. By the analytic continuation of this single-valued branch, we have the multivalued period mapping Φ_K in (1.14). The arrangement of P in (1.2) and Q in (1.3) determines the chambers R_1, R_2, R_3 and R_4 in Figure 9. Theorem 2.1 gives an extension of the classical elliptic integrals to the Hilbert modular case for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.

2.1 The elliptic curve $E(y)$

For $y > 0$, set $\alpha(y) = y^2\sqrt{2y}, \beta(y) = -y^2\sqrt{2y}$ and $p(y) = 5y^2 - 10Xy + Y$ where $\sqrt{y} > 0$. Note that these $\alpha(y), \beta(y)$ and $p(y)$ are real valued analytic functions for $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Set

$$E(y) : v^2 = (u - \alpha(y))(u - \beta(y))(u - p(y)), \tag{2.1}$$

for $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Of course, $E(y)$ gives the fibre for $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ of the elliptic surface $(K(X, Y), \pi, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$. The discriminant of the right hand side of (2.1) for u has five roots in y-plane.



Figure 3: The domain U_0 in (X, Y)-space $\mathbb{R}^2$.



$0 < y < 0.2$ $\quad$ $0 < y < 1.5$ $\quad$ $0 < y < 12$

Figure 4: The graph of $u = \alpha(y), \beta(y), p(y)$

Let U_0 be the domain in $\mathbb{R}^2 = \{(X, Y)\}$ described in Figure 3. The curve in Figure 3 is Klein's icosahedral relation in (1.8). If $(X, Y) \in U_0$, the five roots of the discriminant of the right hand side of (2.1) for u are in $\mathbb{R}_+(\subset y-\text{space})$. So, we let $s_1 = s_1(X, Y), s_2 = s_2(X, Y), s_3 = s_3(X, Y), s_4 = s_4(X, Y)$ and $s_5 = s_5(X, Y)$ be these five roots such that $0 < s_1 < s_2 < s_3 < s_4 < s_5$.

For $(X, Y) \in U_0$ and $s_{j-1} < y < s_j$ $(j = 0, \cdots, 6)$, we denote the right hand side of $E(y)$ by $(u - w_1(y))(u - w_2(y))(u - w_3(y))$, where $w_1(y) < w_2(y) < w_3(y)$ (see Table 2 and Figure 4).

	$0 < y < s_1$	$s_1 < y < s_2$	$s_2 < y < s_3$	$s_3 < y < s_4$	$s_4 < y < s_5$	$s_5 < y$
$w_1(y)$	$\beta(y)$	$\beta(y)$	$p(y)$	$\beta(y)$	$\beta(y)$	$\beta(y)$
$w_2(y)$	$\alpha(y)$	$p(y)$	$\beta(y)$	$p(y)$	$\alpha(y)$	$p(y)$
$w_3(y)$	$p(y)$	$\alpha(y)$	$\alpha(y)$	$\alpha(y)$	$p(y)$	$\alpha(y)$

Table 2: The correspondence between $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ and $\{\alpha, \beta, p\}$.

Since the points $\alpha(y), \beta(y)$ and $p(y)$ are real-valued for $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the function

$$F(y, u_+) = \sqrt{(u_+ - \alpha(y))(u_+ - \beta(y))(u_+ - p(y))}$$

is single-valued on $\{(y, u_+) | y \in \mathbb{R}_+, \mathrm{Im}(u_+) > 0\}$. Hence,

$$F(y, u) = \lim_{t \to 0} F(y, u + \sqrt{-1}t) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.2}$$

is single-valued for $s_{j-1} < y < s_j$ and $u \notin \{\alpha(y), \beta(y), p(y), \infty\}$ as Table 3.

Take a base point $b \in (s_2, s_3)(\subset \mathbb{R})$. We can take the basis $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ of the homology group $H_1(\pi^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$ such that $(\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2) = 1$ and

$$\int_{\gamma_1} \omega = 2 \int_{\beta(b)}^{p(b)} \frac{du}{\sqrt{F(b, u)}}, \quad \int_{\gamma_2} \omega = 2 \int_{\alpha(b)}^{\beta(b)} \frac{du}{\sqrt{F(b, u)}}.$$

	$-\infty < u < w_1$	$w_1 < u < w_2$	$w_2 < u < w_3$	$w_3 < u < \infty$
$F(u,y)$	$-\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_+$	$-\mathbb{R}_+$	$\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_+$	$\mathbb{R}_+$

Table 3: The values of $F(u,y)$.

For $j \in \{0,1,2\}$ ($\in \{3,4,5\}$, resp.), we put $l_j = \{(s_j, -\sqrt{-1}t) | t \geq 0\}$ ($= \{(s_j, \sqrt{-1}t) | t \geq 0\}$, resp.). We call l_j the cut line for s_j. For $y \in \mathbb{C} - \{l_0, \cdots, l_5\}$, take an arc α_y which does not touch the cut lines l_j ($j \in \{0, \cdots, 5\}$) with the start (end, resp.) point b (y, resp.). Let $u \mapsto a_y(u)$ ($0 \leq u \leq 1$) be the parametric representation of α_y. Take a 1-cycle γ on $E(b)$. For $\gamma \in H_1(\pi^{-1}(b), \mathbb{Z})$, we choose the 1-cycle $\gamma_{\alpha_y}(u)$ on $\pi^{-1}(a_y(u))$ which depends continuously on u with $\gamma_{\alpha_y}(0) = \gamma$. If α'_y is homotopic to α_y in $\mathbb{C} - \{l_0 \cup \cdots \cup l_5\}$, we have $\gamma_{\alpha_y}(1) = \gamma_{\alpha'_y}(1)$. So, we have a well-defined correspondence $\mathbb{C} - \{l_0 \cup \cdots \cup l_5\} \ni y \mapsto \gamma_{\alpha_y}(1) \in H_1(\pi^{-1}(y), \mathbb{Z})$. Then, we put

$$\gamma = \gamma_{\alpha_y}(1) \in H_1(\pi^{-1}(y), \mathbb{Z}) \qquad (y \in \mathbb{C} - \{y_0, \cdots, y_5\}). \tag{2.3}$$

Next, let r_j ($j = 0, 1, \cdots, 5$) be a closed arc on $\mathbb{C} - \{0, s_1, \cdots, s_5\}$, starting at b, goes around s_j with the positive orientation and ending at b. We assume that r_j does not touch the cut line l_k if $j \neq k$. Let $t \mapsto u_j(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) be the parametric representation of r_j. For instance, we can take an arc r_1 as in Figure 5. We choose 1-cycles $\gamma_1(t)$ and $\gamma_2(t)$ on $\pi^{-1}(u_j(t))$ which depend continuously on t such that $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2(0) = \gamma_2$. So, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1(1) \\ \gamma_2(1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_j d_j - b_j c_j = 1$. The correspondence $r_j \mapsto M_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{pmatrix}$ gives a representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} - \{0, s_1, \cdots, s_5\})$. We call the matrix M_j the monodromy matrix for r_j.



Figure 5: The points $0, s_1, \cdots, s_6$, the cut lines and an arc r_1 going around s_1.

Remark 2.1. *If an arc r in the base space of an elliptic fibration goes around a singular fibre with the positive orientation, the monodromy matrix M_r is obtained by K. Kodaira ([6], Theorem 9.1). For example, if the singular fibre is of type I_b ($b > 0$) or III^*, the monodromy matrix M_r is given by $B^{-1}M_r^0 B$, where M_r^0 is given by Table 4 and $B \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Lemma 2.1. *The monodromy matrices M_j for $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ are given by* Table 5.

Proof. Let us determine matrix M_2 around s_2. The fibre $\pi^{-1}(s_2)$ is a singular fibre of type I_2. So, the monodromy matrix M_2 is in the form

$$M_2 = B^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} B,$$

Singular Fibre	Matrix M_r^0
I_b	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ b & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
III^*	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Table 4: The matrices M_r^0 for the singular fibres of type I_b and III^*.

	Type of Singular Fibre	Monodromy Matrix for γ_1, γ_2
y_1	I_2	$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
y_2	I_2	$M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
y_3	I_2	$M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
y_4	I_2	$M_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
y_5	I_2	$M_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
0	I_5	$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
∞	III^*	$M_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ -2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$

Table 5: The monodromy matrices M_j $(j = 0, 1, \cdots, 5, \infty)$.

where $B \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Observe that $p(y) = w_1^{(3)}(y)$ converges to $\beta(y) = w_2^{(3)}(y)$ when $y \to y_2 + 0$. So, the matrix M_2 fixes the 1-cycle $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1^{(3)}$. Hence, we have $B = I_2$ and $M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. By the same argument, we obtain Table 5. □

2.2 The transcendental lattice $\langle D_1, \cdots, D_4 \rangle$

From Table 5, we have the following relations:

$$\begin{cases} M_1 M_2 M_4 M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_1 M_2 M_5 M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_2^{-1} M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_0 M_1 M_2 M_0^{-1} M_3^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

The transformation given by the matrix $M_1 M_2 M_4 M_3$ fixes the 1-cycle γ_2. Let ρ_1 be a closed curve in $y-$plane starting from the base point b and goes around s_1, s_2, s_4 and s_3 successively. Let $t \mapsto s(t)$ be a parametric representation of ρ_1. For $0 \le t \le 1$, we put the 1-cycle $\gamma^{(1)}(t)$ on the elliptic curve $\pi^{-1}(s(t))$. The 1-cycle $\gamma^{(1)}(t)$ depends continuously on t and $\gamma^{(1)}(0) = \gamma^{(1)}(1) = \gamma_2$ on $\pi^{-1}(b) = \pi^{-1}(s(0)) = \pi^{-1}(s(1))$. Then, the set

$$C_1 = \bigcup_{0 \le t \le 1} \gamma^{(1)}(t)$$

defines a 2-cycle on the surface $K(X, Y)$. Similarly, we have the 2-cycles C_2, C_3 in Figure 6 and C_4 in Figure 7.



Figure 6: 2-cycles C_1, C_2, C_3.



Figure 7: 2- cycle C_4.

Lemma 2.2. *The intersection matrix for $\{C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4\}$ is given by*

$$((C_j \cdot C_k))_{j,k=1,\cdots,4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & -6 & -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let ρ_j be the base arc of C_j. For $y \in \rho_j$, let $\gamma^{(j)}(y) = C_j \cap \pi^{-1}(y)$. Suppose the base arcs ρ_j and ρ_k intersect at s points $y_1, \cdots, y_s$ in y-plane. Then, the intersection number $(C_j \cdot C_k)$ is given by the following formula:

$$(C_j \cdot C_k) = \sum_{l=1}^{s} (-1)(\rho_j \cdot \rho_k)_{y_l} (\gamma^{(j)}(y_l) \cdot \gamma^{(k)}(y_l)), \tag{2.5}$$

where $(\rho_j \cdot \rho_k)_{y_l}$ is the intersection number of the base arcs ρ_j and ρ_k at the point y_j and $(\gamma^{(j)}(y_l) \cdot \gamma^{(k)}(y_l))$ is the intersection number of 1-cycles on the elliptic curve $\pi^{-1}(y_j)$. See Figure 6. The base arc ρ_1 and ρ_2 intersect at 2 points a_1 and a_2. We have $(\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2)_{a_1} = +1$ and $(\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2)_{a_2} = -1$. Then, from (2.5), we have

$$(C_1 \cdot C_2) = (-1)(+1)(-\gamma_2 \cdot -2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + (-1)(-1)(-2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \cdot -2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = (-1)(-2) + 0 = 2.$$

By the same argument, the claim follows. □

Due to the above lemma, the following corollary is obvious.

Corollary 2.1. *Put*

$$D_1 = C_1, \quad D_2 = C_2, \quad D_3 = C_4 - C_3, \quad D_4 = C_4. \tag{2.6}$$

Then, the intersection matrix for $\{D_1, \cdots, D_4\}$ is given by

$$((D_j \cdot D_k))_{j,k=1,\cdots,4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -4 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.7}$$

Proposition 2.1. *The system $\{D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4\}$ gives a basis of the transcendental lattice of $K(X, Y)$ with the intersection matrix $A(2)$.*

Proof. By the above construction, 2-cycle D_j $(j = 1, \cdots, 4)$ does not touch the singular fibres of $(K(X, Y), \pi, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$. So, from Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2, the system $\{D_1, \cdots, D_4\}$ gives a basis of $\mathrm{Tr}(K(X, Y))$. □

2.3 The 2 cycles $L_1, \cdots, L_6$

Next, we define the 2-cycles $L_1, \cdots, L_6$ on $K(X, Y)$. Let ϱ_j $(j = 1, \cdots, 6)$ be an arc in y-plane with a parametric representation $t \mapsto q_j(t)$ $(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ whose start point and end point is given by Table 6. Remark that we take them such that ϱ_j does not touch the cut lines l_k $(k \in \{0, \cdots, 5\})$ if $0 < t < 1$. Hence, we can put a 1-cycle $\delta^{(j)}(q_j(t))$ on $\pi^{-1}(q_j(t))$ as Table 6 with the manner in (2.3). Then, we can see that $L_j = \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \delta^{(j)}(q_j(t))$ gives a 2-cycle on $K(X, Y)$ (see Figure 8).

As we proved Lemma 2.2, we can prove the following lemma and corollary.

Lemma 2.3.

$$((L_j \cdot C_k))_{1 \leq j \leq 6, 1 \leq k \leq 4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.8}$$

	L_1	L_2	L_3	L_4	L_5	L_6
start point of ϱ_j	s_5	s_4	s_3	s_2	s_1	0
end point of ϱ_j	∞	s_5	∞	s_3	s_4	∞
1-cycle $\delta^{(j)}$	$\gamma_1-\gamma_2$	$\gamma_1-\gamma_2$	γ_1	γ_1	$\gamma_1-\gamma_2$	γ_2

Table 6: The arc ϱ_j and 1-cycles for 2-cycles L_j $(j=1,\cdots,6)$.

Corollary 2.2.

$$((L_j\cdot D_k))_{1\le j\le 6,1\le k\le 4}=\begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0\\1&-1&0&0\\1&1&-2&-1\\0&0&-1&-3\\0&1&-1&-3\\0&0&-2&0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.9}$$



Figure 8: 2-cycles L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5 and L_6.

Proposition 2.2. *A branch of the period mapping Φ_K in* (1.14) *on U_0 has the following expression:*

$$\begin{cases}\displaystyle\int_{\Delta_1}\omega_K=\int_{L_1+L_2}\omega_K,\quad \int_{\Delta_2}\omega_K=\int_{L_1}\omega_K=\int_{L_5-L_4}\omega_K,\\ \displaystyle\int_{\Delta_3}=\int_{-L_4-3(L_6+L_5-L_4-L_3+L_2+L_1)}\omega_K,\\ \displaystyle\int_{\Delta_4}\omega_K=\int_{L_6+L_5-L_4-L_3+L_2+L_1}\omega_K.\end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. According to Proposition 2.1, $\{D_1,\cdots,D_4\}$ gives a basis of $\mathrm{Tr}(K(X,Y))$. Recall the construction of 2-cycles $\Gamma_1,\cdots,\Gamma_4$ on $S(X,Y)$ in Remark 1.3. Together with Prposition 1.1, it is sufficient to take 2-cycles $\Delta_1,\cdots,\Delta_4\in \mathrm{H}_2(K(X,Y),\mathbb{Z})$ such that $(\Delta_j\cdot D_k)=\delta_{jk}$. By Corollary 2.2, we can check that the 2-cycles in the right hand side of (2.10) satisfies these properties. □

2.4 The cambers R_1, R_2, R_3 and R_4



$0 < y < 0.2$ $\qquad$ $0 < y < 1.5$ $\qquad$ $0 < y < 12$

Figure 9: The chambers R_1, R_2, R_3 and R_4.

We set the chambers in $\mathbb{R}^2$ (see Figure 9):

$$\begin{cases} R_1 = \{(u,y) | 0 \le y \le s_2, w_1(y) \le u \le w_2(y)\}, \\ R_2 = \{(u,y) | s_1 \le y \le s_4, w_2(y) \le u \le w_3(y)\}, \\ R_3 = \{(u,y) | s_2 \le y \le s_3, w_1(y) \le u \le w_2(y)\}, \\ R_4 = \{(u,y) | s_4 \le y \le s_5, w_2(y) \le u \le w_3(y)\}. \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

They are surrounded by the branch divisors P and Q. From Table 2, we obtain Table 7.

y	$\frac{1}{2}\Big(\int_{\gamma_1(y)} \omega_y\Big)$	$\frac{1}{2}\Big(\int_{\gamma_2(y)} \omega_y\Big)$
$0 < y < s_1$	$\int_{\alpha(y)}^{p(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)} + \int_{p(y)}^{\infty} \frac{du}{F(u,y)}$	$\int_{\alpha(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)}$
$s_1 < y < s_2$	$\int_{p(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)}$	$\int_{\alpha(y)}^{p(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)} + \int_{p(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)}$
$s_2 < y < s_3$	$\int_{\beta(y)}^{p(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)}$	$\int_{\alpha(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)}$
$s_3 < y < s_4$	$\int_{p(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)}$	$\int_{\alpha(y)}^{p(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)} + \int_{p(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)}$
$s_4 < y < s_5$	$\int_{\alpha(y)}^{p(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)} + \int_{p(y)}^{\infty} \frac{du}{F(u,y)}$	$\int_{p(y)}^{\infty} \frac{du}{F(u,y)}$
$s_5 < y$	$\int_{p(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)}$	$\int_{\alpha(y)}^{p(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)} + \int_{p(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{du}{F(u,y)}$

Table 7: The elliptic integrals on $E(y)$ for (s_{j-1}, s_j).

Theorem 2.1. *A branch of the period mapping Φ_K in (1.14) on U_0 is given by the following double integrals on the chambers R_1, R_2, R_3 and R_4:*

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Delta_1} \omega = 2\int_{R_2} \frac{dudy}{F(u,y)} + 2\int_{R_4} \frac{dudy}{F(u,y)}, & \int_{\Delta_2} \omega = 2\int_{R_2} \frac{dudy}{F(u,y)}, \\ \int_{\Delta_3} \omega = 6\int_{R_1} \frac{dudy}{F(u,y)} + 2\int_{R_3} \frac{dudy}{F(u,y)}, & \int_{\Delta_4} \omega = -2\int_{R_1} \frac{dudy}{F(u,y)}. \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. Form Proposition (2.2), Table 6 and Table 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Delta_2}\omega_K &= \int_{L_5}\omega_K - \int_{L_4}\omega_K \\ &= 2\int_{s_1}^{s_4}\int_{\gamma_1(y)-\gamma_2(y)}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)} - 2\int_{s_2}^{s_3}\int_{\gamma_1(y)}\omega_K = 2\int_{s_1}^{s_4}\int_{p(y)}^{\alpha(y)}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)} = \int_{R_2}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{cases}\int_{\Delta_1}\omega_K = \int_{L_5}\omega_K - \int_{L_4}\omega_K + \int_{L_2}\omega_K \\ \qquad = 2\int_{R_2}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)} + 2\int_{s_4}^{s_5}\int_{\alpha(y)}^{p(y)}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)} = 2\int_{R_2}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)} + 2\int_{R_4}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)}, \\ \int_{\Delta_4} = \int_{L_6}\omega_K + \int_{L_5}\omega_K - \int_{L_4}\omega_K - \int_{L_3}\omega_K + \int_{L_2}\omega_K + \int_{L_1}\omega_K \\ \qquad = 2\int_{0}^{s_1}\int_{\beta(y)}^{\alpha(y)}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)} + 2\int_{s_1}^{s_2}\int_{p(y)}^{\beta(y)}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)} = -\int_{R_1}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)}, \\ \int_{\Delta_3} = -\int_{L_4}\omega_K - 3\int_{\Delta_4}\omega_K \\ \qquad = 2\int_{s_2}^{s_3}\int_{p(y)}^{\beta(y)}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)} + 6\int_{R_1}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)} = 2\int_{R_3}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)} + 6\int_{R_1}\frac{dydu}{F(u,y)}.\end{cases}$$

□

By the analytic continuation of the single-valued branch on U_0 given by the integrals in (2.12), we have the multivalued period mapping Φ_K for the family $\mathcal{K}$. Hence, the Hilbert modular functions for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ is deeply concerned with the arrangement of the divisors P in (1.2) and Q in (1.3). The above theorem gives a canonical extension of the classical elliptic integrals to the Hilbert modular case with the smallest discriminant.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Professor Hironori Shiga for helpful advises and valuable suggestions. He is also grateful to Professor Kimio Ueno and the members of his laboratory for kind encouragements. He is grateful to the referee for careful reading and valuable comments. This work is supported by Waseda University Grant for Special Research Project 2013A - 870 and 2014B -169.

References

[1] B. van Geemen and A. Sarti, *Nikulin involutions on K3 surfaces,* Math. Z **255**, 731- 753, 2007.

[2] K. B. Gundlach, *Die Bestimmung der Funktionen zur Hirbertschen Modulgruppe des Zahlkörpers* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$*,* Math. Ann. **152**, 1963, 226-256.

[3] G. Humbert, *Sur les fonctions abéliennes singulières,* Oeuvres de G. Humbert 2, pub. par les soins de Pierre Humbert et de Gaston Julia, Gauthier-Villars, 297-401, 1936.

[4] F. Hirzebruch, *The ring of Hilbret modular forms for real quadratic fields of small discriminant,* Lecture Notes in Math. **627**, Springer-Verlag, 1977, 287-323.

[5] K. Hashimoto and Y. Murabayashi, *Shimura curves as intersections of Humbert equations and defining Equations of QM-curves of genus two,* Tohoku Math. J., **47** (2), 1995, 271-296.

[6] K. Kodaira, *On analytic surfaces II,* Ann. of Math., **77**, 1963, 563-626.

[7] R. Kobayashi, K. Kushibiki and I. Naruki, *Polygons and Hilbert modular groups,* Tohoku Math. **41**, 1989, 633-646.

[8] K. Mastumoto, T. Sasaki and M. Yoshida, *The monodromy of the period map of a* 4*-parameter family of* $K3$ *surfaces and the hypergeometric function of type* $(3, 6)$*,* Internat. J. Math, **3**, 1-164, 1992.

[9] D. R. Morrison, *On* $K3$ *surfaces with large Picard number,* Invent. Math. **75**, 105-121, 1984.

[10] R. Müller *Hilbertsche Modulformen und Modulfunctionen zu* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$*,* Arch. Math. **45**, 1985, 239-251

[11] A. Nagano, *A theta expression of the Hilbert modular functions for* $\sqrt{5}$ *via the periods of* $K3$ *surfaces,* Kyoto J. Math., **53** (4), 2013, 815-843.

[12] A. Nagano and H. Shiga, *Modular map for the family of Kummer surfaces via* $K3$ *surfaces,* Math. Nachr., to appear, 2014.

Atsuhira Nagano
Department of Mathematics
Waseda University
Okubo 3-4-1, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 169-8555
Japan
(E-mail: atsuhira.nagano@gmail.com)